Antitrust and Competition
We provide clients with class certification, liability, and damages assessments in antitrust and competition matters. We work with parties and agencies in cases involving proposed mergers and allegations of anticompetitive horizontal conduct or monopolization. Our staff and experts also have experience with cases at the intersection of antitrust and intellectual property as well as those involving financial and labor markets.
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HIGH-STAKES ECONOMIC ISSUES IN ANTITRUST LITIGATION

PRICE FIXING AND HORIZONTAL CONDUCT
We work on cases for individual clients and joint defense teams in matters involving price fixing or agreements to allocate territories or customers. In these and other assignments, we assess the economic incentives of the alleged participants, test hypotheses that distinguish competitive from collusive behavior, and assess the likelihood and quantum of damages.

CLASS CERTIFICATION
We have worked on many direct and indirect purchaser class certification matters and have expertise applying rigorous statistical methods to assess whether common impact can be established using common classwide evidence and a common classwide method across a variety of markets. We have assisted counsel in recent matters involving mobile devices, computer components, software, automobiles, and employment practices.

MONOPOLIZATION AND EXLUSIONARY PRACTICES
We analyze claims of monopolization, tying, exclusive dealing, and anticompetitive pricing practices, such as loyalty or volume discounts and bundled rebates. In these cases, it is important to determine whether such practices can be attributed to competition among firms, are the result of bargaining between buyers and sellers, or have efficiencies that outweigh any potential harm to competition.

MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS
In both horizontal and vertical mergers, we consult to merging parties, enforcement agencies, and third parties in all stages of the merger review process, including prescreening, agency review, and litigation. We define relevant markets, identify close competitors, determine competitive interactions, analyze potential price impacts and potential for foreclosure, and assess efficiencies associated with the proposed collaboration.

EMERGING AREAS IN ANTITRUST
Antitrust scrutiny of large tech platforms has led to a wave of investigations and litigation involving “Big Tech” firms. We have cutting-edge expertise on relevant issues. We have also worked on recent, high-profile antitrust litigation and enforcement matters involving no-poach monopsony cartels and “killer” acquisitions.
WIDE-RANGING EXPERTISE

ROBUST ECONOMIC ANALYSES TIED TO THE REAL WORLD
Our experts provide understandable, robust economic analyses and descriptions of relevant economic factors. We use qualitative and quantitative data that support effective, coherent narratives that speak to a wide range of audiences from judges and juries to agency economists. Econometric models reinforced by qualitative evidence such as ordinary course of business documents and fact witness testimony can provide a strong basis for credible and persuasive expert testimony. Our experts are adept at incorporating such evidence, helping to ensure that their analyses are consistent with industry and market realities.

EXPERTISE IN THE U.S. AND ABROAD
We have experience working on antitrust litigation and investigations in the U.S. as well as in Europe and Asia. We have worked for private clients and antitrust agencies in the U.S. and abroad, including the Canadian Competition Bureau. We serve our clients from offices in seven U.S. cities and London, and have an international network of affiliated experts.

BIG DATA ANALYTICS
Cornerstone Research staff and experts are trained in state-of-the-art econometrics and techniques for analyzing big data, including machine learning. We regularly work with datasets containing billions of records. Clients frequently rely on us to compile large datasets from disparate sources and incompatible formats to address the economic issues that arise in litigation, mergers, and other government investigations.

SURVEY EXPERTISE AND ANALYSIS
Our staff and experts have extensive experience in constructing surveys, analyzing market-based data, and critiquing survey methodologies. We have experience conducting original surveys to address case issues, and have knowledge of efficient, reliable sampling techniques.

INDUSTRY EXPERTISE
We have deep institutional knowledge in a wide range of complex industries, including healthcare, life sciences, telecom, tech, and financial markets. We work with academic and industry economists who are recognized experts in these fields.
IN-DEPTH EXPERIENCE IN COMPLEX INDUSTRIES AND MARKETS

TECH
The importance of tech firms and the acceleration of digital business in many industries has led to a range of emerging competition issues. Assessing relevant markets in multisided platforms or potential discrimination arising from the use of algorithms may require nontraditional economic models and methods. Cornerstone Research staff and experts are at the frontier of the relevant fields and bring the highest-quality insights and data analytics capabilities to these complex matters. Our tech and antitrust experts combine a solid understanding of artificial intelligence and machine learning applications with expertise in industrial organization, economics, and competition policy.

FINANCIAL MARKETS
Cornerstone Research’s multidisciplinary expertise in finance and antitrust allows us to effectively address enforcement investigations and litigation involving financial institutions. Our experience includes major antitrust and securities litigation, as well as matters involving the credit crisis, bank failures, and mutual fund fees.

HEALTHCARE
Cornerstone Research has experience in preparing economic and financial analyses for companies in the healthcare industry. Clients, including hospitals and insurance providers, draw on our expertise in competition issues involving the healthcare sector. Our staff and experts address allegations of predatory and discriminatory pricing, price fixing by physicians’ associations or hospitals, price fixing of healthcare workers’ wages, exclusionary practices, monopolization, and the complex issues that arise when healthcare organizations merge (e.g., bargaining, quality of care, risk-sharing, and efficiencies).

LIFE SCIENCES
Cornerstone Research conducts economic and financial analyses for litigation involving pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, and medical devices. Clients draw on our deep knowledge of the life sciences industry—its institutional structure, its competitive environment, and its regulatory framework. We have supported life sciences clients to address a wide range of antitrust allegations.
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND MEDIA
Cornerstone Research has extensive experience analyzing antitrust issues in the telecommunications industry. We have supported and presented testimony in a variety of settings, including for the Department of Justice and the Federal Communications Commission. Our experience spans matters related to many services in the industry, including wireless telephony, wireline telephony, cable, television programming, telephone switching equipment, broadband data and voice communications, and direct broadcast satellite.

LABOR MARKETS
Cornerstone Research has experience in labor and employment matters, including class actions, individual actions, and internal investigations. Our staff and affiliated experts have provided consulting and testimony on monopsony and no-poach agreements, job classification and compensation structures, discrimination, and job definition. We have addressed issues in class certification, liability, and damages, including those arising in labor market antitrust and discrimination cases.

ENERGY
Cornerstone Research combines energy and commodities experience with expertise in antitrust, valuation, securities, class certification, and intellectual property litigation. We apply financial and economic analysis to issues that arise in the changing energy and commodities markets, including those related to allegations of market manipulation and price fixing, breach of contract, merger reviews, trading disputes, asset valuation, and environmental impact.
SELECTED RETENTIONS: HORIZONTAL CONSPIRACIES

IN RE WHOLESALE GROCERY PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Counsel for C&S Wholesale Grocers, a wholesaler based in New England, retained Cornerstone Research and Kenneth Elzinga of the University of Virginia to address allegations that C&S had entered into a collusive agreement with Supervalu, a Midwest-based wholesaler, which gave C&S control over the New England market and Supervalu control of the Midwest market. The plaintiffs, a class of retail grocers in the Midwest, alleged that this agreement allowed Supervalu to overcharge grocery stores in the Midwest. In his testimony Professor Elzinga presented his analysis of wholesale grocery prices in the Midwest. He explained the importance of economies of scale in the industry, the many viable choices grocers had for wholesale supply options, and the continuing high level of competition in grocery wholesaling. A Minnesota federal jury found for our client in this multidistrict antitrust class action.

THE FINANCIAL CONDUCT AUTHORITY’S FIRST COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT DECISION

Eversheds Sutherland engaged Peter Davis of Cornerstone Research on behalf of its asset management client in the first case where the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) used its competition enforcement powers. The case involved the question of when information exchange constitutes a breach of competition law. Specifically, the FCA considered whether sharing of information, on a bilateral basis between competing asset management firms during one IPO and one placing, constituted an infringement. Dr. Davis provided a written expert report and testified in front of the FCA’s Case Decision Group. The FCA issued a modest penalty approximately 10 percent of the amount initially announced by the client as being at risk from FCA penalties in the event of an infringement decision.

IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

The plaintiffs claimed that the defendants engaged in bid rigging of certain direct purchasers’ prices of optical disk drives (ODDs) and that this alleged conduct was part of a larger industrywide conspiracy, causing prices for all direct purchasers to increase. Indirect purchaser plaintiffs claimed that the elevated ODD component costs were passed through to consumers in the form of higher prices for notebook and desktop computers, Xboxes, and external ODDs. In the indirect purchaser action, Cornerstone Research was jointly retained by counsel for the defendants. Our expert submitted a report in support of the defendants’ opposition to class certification. The U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California denied class certification for both groups of plaintiffs. The judge agreed with the opinions expressed in our expert’s report and found that the plaintiffs failed to present “a viable methodology for establishing classwide antitrust injury and damages.”
SOUTHEASTERN MILK ANTITRUST LITIGATION
The joint defense team retained Cornerstone Research to work with three economic experts, including Kenneth Elzinga of the University of Virginia, to address allegations that the largest Southeastern milk processors allocated the market and fixed prices for fluid milk from 2002 to 2009. Class certification hinged on whether the plaintiffs offered a methodology that proved classwide impact. The plaintiffs’ expert testified that a regression model could estimate how much prices were “elevated” for each class member. Cornerstone Research’s analysis demonstrated that the plaintiffs’ model assumed common impact for all class members rather than testing for it. We also showed that the plaintiffs’ model could not reliably measure the alleged damages caused by the conduct at issue because it yielded “false positives.”

IN RE INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORTATION SURCHARGE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
As information about investigations into Virgin Atlantic Airways (VAA) and British Airways (BA) by U.K. and U.S. competition authorities became public, multiple class actions were filed in the United States. Plaintiffs alleged that collusion between VAA and BA on fuel surcharges had led to inflated airfares and proposed a class of U.S. ticket purchasers and a class of U.K. ticket purchasers. Defense counsel retained Cornerstone Research to provide analytic support for VAA in its submissions to the competition authorities in both countries and to support Peter Reiss of Stanford University, who testified on damages at mediation in the civil action. Professor Reiss explained that economic theory showed that colluding on only one component of the fare could not lead to a sustained increase in prices. Following mediation, a settlement agreement in the civil case was reached and granted final approval.

WAGE FIXING VIA SALARY SURVEYS
In a large, nationwide class action antitrust case, the plaintiffs alleged that the defendant companies depressed the salaries of their employees through the use of information exchanges. Using statistical analysis of complex wage data and samples of class members’ resumes and exit interviews, Cornerstone Research evaluated the plaintiffs’ claim that the harm to each class member was subject to common proof. Our analysis showed that individual inquiry would be required to determine whether the defendants jointly possessed monopsony power for any given class member’s labor services. The court denied the plaintiffs’ motion to certify an industry-wide class.
SELECTED RETENTIONS: MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS

T-MOBILE/SPRINT MERGER APPROVED

Counsel for SoftBank and Sprint Corporation retained antitrust experts John Asker of UCLA, Timothy Bresnahan of Stanford University, and Kostis Hatzitaskos of Cornerstone Research to assist with the agency review of T-Mobile’s $26 billion merger with Sprint. Cornerstone Research supported Professors Asker and Bresnahan and Dr. Hatzitaskos in analyzing how consumers choose wireless carriers and how wireless carriers compete. A New York federal court judge approved the merger, and the Department of Justice and Federal Communications Commission granted approval with certain remedies.

In a concurrent proceeding, Cornerstone Research supported Professor Bresnahan in his testimony before the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) evaluating the competitive effects of the transaction. The CPUC approved the merger in April 2020.

Cornerstone Research also supported Professor Asker in the related litigation, New York et al. v. Deutsche Telekom AG et al., in which a group of states' attorneys general sued to block the deal. Professor Asker submitted affirmative analyses of the competitive effects of the merger and rebutted analyses of plaintiffs' economics experts. The court ruled for the merging parties, refusing to enjoin the merger.

CIGNA’S ACQUISITION OF EXPRESS SCRIPTS

Counsel for Cigna retained Cornerstone Research in the review of this $67 billion vertical merger by federal and state regulators. The merger involved one of the largest health insurers and one of the largest pharmacy benefit managers in the United States. Under the direction of Aviv Nevo of the University of Pennsylvania and Nathan Miller of Georgetown University, Cornerstone Research analyzed the competitive effects of the merger. The merger was completed after clearance by the Federal Trade Commission and state regulators.

U.S. V. AT&T/TIME WARNER

Defense counsel for AT&T and Time Warner retained Peter Rossi of the UCLA Anderson School of Management, with support from Cornerstone Research, to testify about survey methods and to rebut opposing experts. Gregory Rosston of Stanford University and Michael Topper of Cornerstone Research also consulted to AT&T and Time Warner during the enforcement process and litigation about the proposed $85 billion merger’s likely competitive effects. A federal court judge ruled that AT&T could move forward with its purchase of Time Warner and the D.C. Circuit affirmed that decision.
WALT DISNEY COMPANY’S ACQUISITION OF 21ST CENTURY FOX FILM AND TV STUDIOS AND CERTAIN CABLE NETWORKS

Counsel for 21st Century Fox (21CF) retained Cornerstone Research and Aviv Nevo of the University of Pennsylvania to assist in the Department of Justice’s regulatory review of Disney’s proposed $71.3 billion acquisition of 21CF film and TV studios and certain cable networks. Professor Nevo analyzed film and television production and distribution, sports programming, and cable networks. The DOJ approved the acquisition subject to the divestiture of 21CF’s regional sports network.

U.S. V. AETNA/HUMANA

The Department of Justice and plaintiff states retained Cornerstone Research, Aviv Nevo of the University of Pennsylvania, and Christine Hammer, a certified public accountant, in the $37 billion proposed merger of health insurers Aetna and Humana. Professor Nevo analyzed the likely effects of the proposed merger on competition involving Medicare Advantage plans and plans sold on the Affordable Care Act exchanges. Ms. Hammer evaluated whether the defendants’ efficiency claims were verifiable and merger-specific. After a U.S. district judge’s decision enjoining the merger, the parties abandoned the transaction.

COMCAST–NBCUNIVERSAL JOINT VENTURE

Comcast Corporation and its outside counsel retained Michael Topper of Cornerstone Research and Gregory Rosston of Stanford University to provide economic analyses of regulatory and competition issues related to the $30 billion joint venture between Comcast and NBCUniversal. Dr. Topper and Professor Rosston analyzed the competitive implications of the combination of NBCUniversal’s content with Comcast’s distribution platforms. The Federal Communications Commission and the Department of Justice approved the joint venture with certain conditions.
SELECTED RETENTIONS: MONOPOLIZATION AND EXCLUSIONARY PRACTICES

FRESENIUS KABI USA LLC V. PAR STERILE PRODUCTS LLC ET AL.
Counsel for Par retained Cornerstone Research to support expert testimony by Timothy Bresnahan of Stanford University and Celeste Saravia of Cornerstone Research in this matter involving allegations of foreclosure. The plaintiff, Fresenius Kabi, alleged that Par blocked Fresenius from obtaining the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) necessary to produce a generic version of Par’s branded vasopressin injection drug by having alleged arrangements with several API suppliers. Fresenius further claimed that Par’s actions allowed it to enhance its market power and extract monopoly profits. Professor Bresnahan addressed the plaintiff’s foreclosure allegations by analyzing the relevant API supplier market to evaluate the availability of potential suppliers. Dr. Saravia analyzed the plaintiff's presumption that Par's alleged arrangements caused Fresenius's failure to enter the vasopressin injection market and rebutted the plaintiff’s damages analyses. The judge granted summary judgment in Par’s favor.

INLINE PACKAGING LLC V. GRAPHIC PACKAGING INTERNATIONAL INC.
Defense counsel retained Celeste Saravia of Cornerstone Research to provide expert testimony on monopolization and exclusionary practices. Plaintiff Inline alleged that Graphic had maintained a dominant position in the market for susceptor food packaging, which is used in microwaveable products, through anticompetitive actions. In her report, Dr. Saravia rebutted the plaintiff’s expert, opining that he had failed to offer a coherent theory under which Graphic’s bundled discounts resulted in harm to competition rather than benefit to consumers. The judge granted Graphic’s motion for summary judgment on all claims and denied Inline’s motion for partial summary judgment. The Eighth U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the U.S. district court’s summary judgment motion.
SHUFFLE TECH INTERNATIONAL LLC ET AL. V. SCIENTIFIC GAMES CORP. ET AL.

Counsel for Shuffle Tech, a group of entrepreneurs who invented an automatic card shuffler for card tables, retained Matthew Lynde of Cornerstone Research to provide trial testimony on liability and antitrust damages in this matter involving fraudulent patents. Shuffle Tech and their partners were sued by SHFL Entertainment Inc. (SHFL). SHFL alleged that Shuffle Tech had violated two of its patents for its automatic shufflers. In an antitrust countersuit brought against Scientific Games Corp. (SGC), which had acquired SHFL, Shuffle Tech claimed that SHFL’s patents were fraudulent.

Dr. Lynde determined that the defendant (SHFL) wielded market power by virtue of its near monopoly market position in the relevant market. Dr. Lynde also calculated a range of lost profits Shuffle Tech and three other entities incurred based on a likely growth path and revenue stream that they could have accrued but for the patent litigation by SHFL that forced them to leave the market. After a ten-day trial, the jury found in favor of Shuffle Tech and three other companies using Dr. Lynde’s damages number of $105 million.

ALLEGED PRICE DISCRIMINATION AND UNFAIR COMPETITION AMONG AUTOMOBILE DEALERSHIPS

Defense counsel for a major automobile manufacturer retained Cornerstone Research and John Asker of UCLA to assess allegations that the automobile manufacturer’s dealer incentive programs led to discriminatory prices, payments, and vehicle allocations to certain dealerships relative to others. To address these allegations, Professor Asker analyzed the design and implementation of the dealer incentive programs from an economic perspective and addressed plaintiff experts’ theories of harm and empirical analyses. He conducted extensive empirical analyses to determine whether the manufacturer’s incentive programs were functioning in a procompetitive manner. He submitted an expert report and testified both at deposition and in a court hearing. The case ultimately settled.
SELECT COMPETITION EXPERTS: ACADEMIC

JOHN ASKER
Armen A. Alchian Chair in Economic Theory and Professor of Economics, University of California, Los Angeles;
Senior Advisor, Cornerstone Research

John Asker is a renowned competition economist and thought leader on antitrust issues. His academic and policy work covers topics related to antitrust policy, cartel behavior, mergers, vertical restraints, competition in financial markets, and industry subsidies. Professor Asker has served as an economic consultant or testifying expert in several litigation, merger review, enforcement, and international trade matters. He was an expert for the Federal Communications Commission in the AT&T/DirecTV merger and an expert for the merging parties in the T-Mobile/Sprint matter.

TIMOTHY F. BRESNAHAN
Landau Professor in Technology and the Economy, Stanford University;
Senior Advisor, Cornerstone Research

Timothy Bresnahan is a recognized founder of "new empirical industrial organization" and a former deputy assistant attorney general and chief economist of the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice. He has testified on liability and damages issues in antitrust, competition, intellectual property, and product liability matters. He served as the economic expert on several mergers, including Sysco/US Foods, Google/AdMob, Google/ITA Software, Intel/McAfee, CoStar/LoopNet, and T-Mobile/Sprint. Professor Bresnahan received a 2017 BBVA Foundation Frontiers of Knowledge Award.
**LAURENCE C. BAKER**

Professor of Health Research and Policy, Chair, Department of Health Research and Policy, School of Medicine, Stanford University;
Senior Advisor, Cornerstone Research

Laurence Baker is an expert in antitrust and the effects of regulation on healthcare markets. In his research, Professor Baker examines the relationship between physician practice characteristics and competition and healthcare delivery, prices, and outcomes. He has consulted and testified on matters related to healthcare competition issues, including mergers and alleged exclusionary contracting practices. Professor Baker provided expert testimony in *Oscar Insurance Company of Florida v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Florida Inc. et al.*

---

**KENNETH G. ELZINGA**

Robert C. Taylor Professor of Economics, University of Virginia;
Senior Advisor, Cornerstone Research

Ken Elzinga is a leading antitrust expert and scholar of competition economics. He has testified in numerous precedent-setting cases, including for the prevailing parties in three Supreme Court cases: *Matsushita v. Zenith*, *Brooke Group v. Brown & Williamson*, and *Leegin Creative Leather Products v. PSKS Inc*. Professor Elzinga served as a special economic advisor to the head of the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice and as a consultant to the Federal Trade Commission.

---

**GAUTAM GOWRISANKARAN**

Professor of Economics, Peter and Nancy Salter Chair in Healthcare Management, University of Arizona;
Senior Advisor, Cornerstone Research

Gautam Gowrisankaran is an authority on the competitive effects of mergers and acquisitions and the economics of industrial organization. A focus of his research is healthcare markets, such as hospitals, accountable care organizations, and health insurance. Professor Gowrisankaran has testified in competition matters in numerous venues, and submitted testimony to the Federal Trade Commission in *USA v. Cabell Huntington Hospital Inc. and St. Mary’s Medical Center Inc.*
Scott Hemphill provides expert testimony on antitrust matters, in which he has addressed such topics as merger effects, monopsony, industry regulation, vertical restraints, and exclusionary conduct. He has particular expertise with matters involving complex economic models of liability. Professor Hemphill has testified before Congress on various issues, including the proposed Comcast/Time Warner merger and pharmaceutical competition and innovation. His research interests and expertise lie at the intersection of competition and innovation, covering subjects such as concentration in high-tech industries, drug patents, net neutrality, and product design copyright.

Lorin Hitt focuses on issues related to competition and consumer behavior in several markets, including high tech, consumer electronics and appliances, digital goods, and automobiles. Professor Hitt’s testifying experience encompasses class certification issues, damages analysis, and the valuation of consumer product attributes in a number of contexts. He has been retained as an expert in several high-profile cases.

James Hughes has served as an expert in a variety of antitrust, regulatory, labor discrimination, and product misrepresentation matters in industries that span healthcare, life sciences, commodities, and services. Professor Hughes researches issues in law and economics, pharmaceuticals, healthcare, regulation, labor economics, and antitrust economics. He has studied how generic prescription drug laws may affect innovation rates in research pharmaceutical companies. Most recently, he provided expert testimony in *In re Intuniv Antitrust Litigation*.
DANIEL P. KESSLER
Professor of Political Economy, Stanford Graduate School of Business; Professor of Law, Stanford Law School; Professor (by courtesy) of Health Research and Policy, Stanford School of Medicine; Senior Fellow, Hoover Institution and Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research, Stanford University; Senior Advisor, Cornerstone Research

Daniel Kessler has consulted and testified for hospitals, physician groups, integrated delivery systems, insurers, and pharmaceutical manufacturers on cases involving antitrust issues, billing and contracting disputes, fraud and abuse litigation, insurance regulation, and sampling issues. He has also served as a consultant to trade associations, foundations, and the governments of the United States and Canada. Professor Kessler has experience on matters involving class certification, liability, and damages issues. He cosigned an amicus brief submitted to the U.S. Supreme Court in FTC v. Actavis.

MARGARET K. KYLE
Chair in Intellectual Property and Markets for Technology, MINES ParisTech

Margaret Kyle is a leading pharmaceutical industry expert whose research focuses on innovation, productivity, and competition. Her research includes the role of geographic and academic spillovers in R&D productivity, firm-specific and policy determinants of the distribution of new products, and competition from generic drugs. In her recent work, Professor Kyle has examined the effect of trade and intellectual property policies on R&D investment and competition.

JUSTIN MCCRARY
Paul J. Evanson Professor of Law, Columbia Law School; Senior Advisor, Cornerstone Research

Justin McCrary is an expert in economics, econometrics, antitrust, finance, and labor markets. As an expert witness, he has addressed issues of antitrust liability, damages, and class certification. Professor McCrary has extensive experience addressing competition issues that arise in labor markets, high-technology products, and financial markets. As the Founding Director of “D-Lab” while at UC Berkeley, he is also an expert on data science and confidentiality and the application of causal inference techniques to Big Data.
NATHAN MILLER
Saleh Romeih Associate Professor, 
McDonough School of Business, 
Georgetown University

Nathan Miller is an expert on antitrust, industrial organization, and regulation. He has conducted economic analysis in high-stakes merger transactions. As a former staff economist for the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice, he assessed several complex mergers, notably Bazaarvoice/PowerReviews and Ticketmaster/Live Nation, as well as AT&T/T-Mobile, for which he received the Antitrust Division’s AAG Award. Professor Miller has been retained by the Department of Justice, Federal Trade Commission, and the Canadian Competition Bureau as an expert in their merger investigations.

AVIV NEVO
George A. Weiss and Lydia Bravo Weiss University Professor, 
Professor of Economics and Marketing, 
The Wharton School and Department of Economics, 
University of Pennsylvania; 
Senior Advisor, Cornerstone Research

Aviv Nevo is a pioneer in using sophisticated econometric and merger simulation tools to analyze competition policy issues. He has been retained as an economic expert by the Department of Justice, including in Aetna/Humana, by the Federal Trade Commission, such as in Wilhelmsen/Drew, and by private firms in cases related to antitrust merger review, regulatory review of dominant firms, and other antitrust matters. Professor Nevo was formerly chief economist at the Antitrust Division at the Department of Justice.

ARIEL PAKES
Thomas Professor of Economics, 
Harvard University; 
Senior Advisor, 
Cornerstone Research

Ariel Pakes is a global authority on industrial organization and econometric modeling, whose work has paved the way for current empirical research in these areas. Professor Pakes’s econometric models are widely used by antitrust authorities in many parts of the world. He provides economic consulting and expert witness testimony on issues related to competition, intellectual property, healthcare, and technology. Professor Pakes received a 2017 BBVA Foundation Frontiers of Knowledge Award.
Peter Reiss is an industrial organization economist, with a focus on statistical analysis. His research addresses how technology, consumer tastes, and industry structure affect firms’ competitive positions. He has served as an expert in class certification and damages for antitrust and competition matters, including cases involving allegations of price fixing in financial services. Professor Reiss has testified before the Department of Justice and other federal agencies.

Peter Rossi is a leading expert on econometrics, statistics, and marketing. His research focuses on target and direct marketing, brand choice, price promotions, couponing, and consumer demand analysis. Professor Rossi has testified numerous times in federal and state courts on a range of complex issues, including antitrust, survey sampling, franchise rights, patent and trademark infringement, and job discrimination. In 2018, Professor Rossi testified on behalf of the defendants in the $85 billion merger between AT&T and Time Warner.

Greg Rosston is an expert on competition policy and business strategy. His specialties include antitrust, industrial organization, and regulation, with an emphasis on telecommunications, media, and the internet. Professor Rosston has consulted on major transactions undergoing antitrust review at the Department of Justice and the Federal Communications Commission, including AT&T/Time Warner, Comcast/NBCUniversal, and Comcast/Time Warner Cable. He has also testified as an expert witness on several competition and telecommunications matters.
Marc Rysman is an expert in antitrust and competition and an authority on the economics of network effects, two-sided (or platform) markets, standardization, and compatibility. He has conducted empirical research on standard-setting organizations, business data services, and payment instruments and systems such as credit cards. Professor Rysman has provided expert testimony in deposition, trial, and arbitration. He has also consulted to the Federal Communications Commission as well as to financial services and data publishing firms.

Daniel Sumner is an expert in national and international competition in agricultural markets as well as agricultural economics and policy. His research focuses on the consequences of farm and trade policy for agriculture and the economy. He has testified in numerous matters involving agriculture, including cases related to antitrust, false advertising, class certification, and cross-border trade. Professor Sumner has experience as an expert witness in depositions and trials in U.S. state and federal courts, with regulatory agencies, and before the U.S. International Trade Commission, the Canadian International Trade Tribunal, and dispute settlement panels and the Appellate Body of the World Trade Organization.
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PETER DAVIS
Senior Vice President, Cornerstone Research

Peter Davis leads the firm's European competition practice. Dr. Davis has significant experience in competition investigations undertaken by the Directorate-General for Competition and the U.K. authorities including the Competition and Markets Authority and the Financial Conduct Authority. Dr. Davis specializes in supporting clients involved in merger inquiries, market investigations, and in follow-on damages actions. He formerly held the post of deputy chairman of the U.K. Competition Commission.

KOSTIS HATZITASKOS
Vice President, Cornerstone Research

Kostis Hatzitaskos coheads Cornerstone Research's global antitrust and competition practice. He focuses on merger reviews for merging parties and government agencies. He has extensive experience in all phases of merger review, including lead roles on behalf of the government in Aetna/Humana and Wilhelmsen/Drew, and on behalf of the merging parties in Disney/Fox and T-Mobile/Sprint. Citing his econometrics expertise and significant experience analyzing large datasets, Who's Who Legal has recognized Dr. Hatzitaskos as a future leader in the competition field.

W. ROBERT “BOB” MAJURE
Vice President, Cornerstone Research

Bob Majure has wide-ranging expertise with merger and joint venture analysis, antitrust proceedings, regulatory actions, and appellate filings. He is the former Director of Economics for the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice. In this role, he monitored merger enforcement and litigation matters, allegations of anticompetitive horizontal conduct, and monopolization. An expert in industrial organization economics, Dr. Majure worked on every merger challenge brought by the Antitrust Division during his nine years as director, including Aetna/Humana, Anthem/Cigna, AT&T/T-Mobile, AT&T/Time Warner, GE/Electrolux, and USAir/American.
BRYAN RICCHETTI  
Vice President,  
Cornerstone Research

Bryan Ricchetti coheads Cornerstone Research’s global antitrust and competition practice. He has expertise in economic and statistical issues that arise when assessing antitrust impact, market power, class certification, hiring and compensation decisions, and discrimination. As an expert witness, Dr. Ricchetti has filed expert reports in federal court addressing a variety of issues, including antitrust impact and damages, age and race discrimination, lost wages, and statistical forecasting. He has been deposed multiple times and has testified at trial.

CELESTE SARAVIA  
Vice President,  
Cornerstone Research

Celeste Saravia provides economic and statistical consulting and expert testimony. She focuses on class certification, liability, and damages in antitrust matters. Dr. Saravia has experience testifying at trial on liability and damages issues in antitrust cases. She testified on monopolization and exclusionary practices in *Inline Packaging LLC v. Graphic Packaging International Inc.* She has also submitted expert reports and provided deposition testimony on damages and liability issues in cases involving allegations of price fixing and alleged monopolization. *Who’s Who Legal* has recognized her as a leading competition economist.

MICHAEL D. TOPPER  
Senior Vice President,  
Cornerstone Research

Michael Topper has more than twenty-five years of consulting experience in antitrust litigation and U.S. agency and European Commission merger reviews. He has significant expertise in merger review, and in the liability, damages, and class certification issues that arise in antitrust litigation. Dr. Topper has served as an expert in Federal Communications Commission proceedings, including Comcast/NBCUniversal and Comcast/Time Warner Cable. He has also testified in Copyright Royalty Board and California Public Utility Commission proceedings.
CORNERSTONE RESEARCH

Cornerstone Research provides economic and financial consulting and expert testimony in all phases of complex litigation and regulatory proceedings. The firm works with an extensive network of prominent faculty and industry practitioners to identify the best-qualified expert for each assignment. Cornerstone Research has earned a reputation for consistent high quality and effectiveness by delivering rigorous, state-of-the-art analysis for over thirty years. The firm has more than 700 staff and offices in Boston, Chicago, London, Los Angeles, New York, San Francisco, Silicon Valley, and Washington.

www.cornerstone.com